## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

SHELL OIL COMPANY P.O. BOX 2463 HOUSTON, TX 77252-2463

**COPY MAILED** 

JUN 2 3 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Larry Wayne Payne Application No. 10/761,591

Filed: January 21, 2004

Attorney Docket No. TH2442 (US)

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed January 18, 2005, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional application set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

## The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

Along with the instant petition under 37 CFR § 1.76(a)(6), petitioner has submitted an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to the provisional prior-filed application. However, please note, the amendment states the above-identified application claims benefit of provisional Application No. 60/445,528, but the petition makes reference to Application No. 10/431,189.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on January 21, 2004, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. While a reference to the prior-filed application was not included in an ADS or in the first sentence of the specification following the title, reference nevertheless was made in the transmittal letter filed with the above-identified application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(5)(ii). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application(s) set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under

37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6). In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the priorfiled application in the transmittal letter filed with the application, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt.

In view of the above, the \$1500.00 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be refunded to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 1621 for appropriate action on the amendment submitted January 18, 2005, including consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/445,528.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Petitions Attorney Edward Tannouse at (571) 272-3228. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note MPEP 201.11 (III)(D), pages 200-59 and 200-60 (Rev. 2. May 2004) and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.